

## ON SIMPLE GROUPS AND SIMPLE SINGULARITIES

BY

N. I. SHEPHERD-BARRON

*DPMMS, Cambridge University**CMS, Wilberforce Rd., Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK**e-mail: nisb@dpmms.cam.ac.uk*

## ABSTRACT

We give a proof of a characteristic  $p$  version of Brieskorn's theorem, namely, that if  $G$  is a simply connected simple algebraic group of type  $A$ ,  $D$  or  $E$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  whose characteristic is very good for  $G$ , then the categorical quotient morphism  $G \rightarrow G//G_{ad}$  yields, when restricted to a general slice through a point  $P$  in the subregular unipotent orbit in  $G$ , a miniversal deformation of the rational double point over  $k$  of the same type as  $G$ .

**1. Introduction**

Suppose that  $G$  is a split simply connected simple Chevalley group of rank  $r$  and type  $A$ ,  $D$  or  $E$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  with adjoint group  $G_{ad}$  and that  $k$  is an algebraically closed field whose characteristic  $p$  is very good for  $G$ . Grothendieck conjectured that the categorical quotient morphism  $G \rightarrow G//G_{ad}$  yields, when restricted to a general slice through a point  $P$  in the subregular unipotent orbit in  $G \otimes k$ , a miniversal deformation (also known as a semi-universal deformation) of the rational double point (RDP) over  $k$  of the same type as  $G$ . Brieskorn [B] proved this, in the context of Lie algebras over  $k$  rather than groups over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , provided that  $p = 0$ . A complete proof was published by Slodowy [Sl], who extended the result to the case where  $p > 4 \operatorname{Cox}(G) - 2$ . His proof uses the Jacobson–Morozov lemma to construct a slice that is equivariant under a certain  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action, and then exploits the fact (which is verified case by case) that the degrees of the co-ordinates on a miniversal deformation space are the degrees of the Weyl group.

---

Received February 11, 1999 and in revised form June 7, 2000

This note, which is a sequel to Hinich's papers [H1,2], presents a proof of Brieskorn's theorem, in the context of groups, when  $p$  is very good. It avoids the coincidence just mentioned, but depends upon those listed as (1)–(3) below. We show first that Grothendieck's construction yields a miniversal deformation of the minimal resolution  $\tilde{Y}$  of  $Y$ . We then deduce the result for  $Y$  via a very easy local Torelli theorem for  $\tilde{Y}$  in characteristic zero. (The idea of analyzing the deformations of an RDP by first analyzing those of its minimal resolution appears in [P].) In particular, we recover the existence of a Weyl group action on  $\text{Def}_{\tilde{Y}}$  whose quotient is  $\text{Def}_Y$  (where  $\text{Def}_Z$  denotes a miniversal deformation space of an object  $Z$ , when it exists) and that a smoothing of an RDP has a simultaneous resolution, without base-change, if and only if there is no monodromy on the vanishing cohomology.

Hinich works in the context of Lie algebras. In [H1], he proves, by Grothendieck duality and Grauert–Riemenschneider vanishing, that the normalization of any nilpotent orbit closure has rational Gorenstein singularities, while in [H2] he gives a quick identification when  $p = 0$  of the singularities along the subregular nilpotent orbit. This argument relies upon (1)–(2):

(1) In any characteristic, and even in mixed characteristic, a surface singularity is an RDP if it has a resolution with trivial canonical class, and this resolution is then minimal [Li]. (In fact, Hinich gives a direct proof that the exceptional curves have self-intersection  $-2$ .) This follows from general results about rational surface singularities.

(2) In good characteristic, RDPs are determined up to isomorphism by the combinatorial structure of a minimal resolution. This is something of a lucky coincidence, and is only known to be true from an inspection of Artin's list [A2].

If also  $p$  is very good, then the orbit maps of  $G_{ad}$  are separable, and Hinich's argument extends without material change to identify the singularities.

The other fact that we exploit, that again depends upon an inspection of Artin's list, is:

(3) In very good characteristic, the dimension of a miniversal deformation space equals the rank (the number of curves in the minimal resolution).

Hinich's result identifies the singularities also when  $G$  is not simply laced, and Slodowy's results cover this case also; they describe the induced deformation as a particular invariant subspace of a miniversal deformation of the singularity. We have not tried to determine whether the approach taken here can be made to work in this context.

*Notation:* Vector bundles will be denoted by capital italic letters, and their

sheaves of sections by the corresponding script letters. A miniversal deformation space of a space  $V$  will be denoted by  $\text{Def}_V$ , when it exists. We say that  $p$  is **good** if  $p \neq 2, 3, 5$  for type  $E_8$ ,  $p \neq 2, 3$  for type  $E_6$  and  $E_7$ , and  $p \neq 2$  for type  $D$ . Moreover,  $p$  is **very good** if also  $p$  does not divide  $r + 1$  for type  $A_r$ .

## 2. Grothendieck's construction

Fix, over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , a maximal torus  $T$  of  $G$ , with Weyl group  $W$ , and then fix a Borel subgroup  $B$  containing  $T$ . Denote by  $e$  the identity of  $T \otimes k$  and, by abuse of notation, its image in  $T/W$ . Let  $\phi: G \rightarrow G//G_{ad}$  be the categorical quotient by the adjoint action. If  $\chi_i$  is the trace of the fundamental representation  $\rho_i$  of  $G$ , which is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then there are natural ring homomorphisms  $\mathbb{Z}[\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G^{G_{ad}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T^W$  whose composite is, by exponential invariant theory, an isomorphism. One can show [St] that the other maps are also isomorphisms, so that  $G//G_{ad}$  is identified with  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r]$  and the natural map  $T \rightarrow G//G_{ad}$  induces an isomorphism  $T/W \rightarrow G//G_{ad}$ . The unipotent variety  $N$  is  $\phi^{-1}(e)$ . It is flat over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and all geometric fibres are normal.

For any parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  and  $V$  a connected subgroup of  $G$  that is normalized by  $P$  (all over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ), there is a proper collapsing map  $(G \times V)/P \rightarrow G$ , where  $P$  acts on  $G \times V$  by  $p(g, v) = (gp^{-1}, p(v))$ , induced by  $G \times V \rightarrow G : (g, v) \mapsto g(v)$ .

We can identify  $(G \times P)/P$  with the incidence variety  $\{(P', v) | v \in P'\} \subset (G/P) \times G$ , where  $G/P$  is identified with the variety of conjugates of  $P$ , and then the collapsing map is identified with the projection to  $G$ .

Put  $\tilde{X} = (G \times B)/B$  and let  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X \rightarrow G$  be the Stein factorization of the collapsing map. Let  $U$  denote the unipotent radical of  $B$ , so that the map  $T \rightarrow B/U$  is an isomorphism. Via this,  $B$  acts trivially on  $T$  and there is a projection  $\psi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow T$ . Then  $\tilde{X}_e = \psi^{-1}(e)$  is the subvariety  $((G \times U)/B) \otimes k$ .

**THEOREM 2.1:** (1) (Springer) *The collapsing map  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow G$  induces  $\tilde{X}_e \rightarrow N$ , and this is a desingularization.*

(2) (Grothendieck) *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X & \longrightarrow & G \\ \psi \downarrow & & \phi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ T & \xrightarrow{=} & T & \xrightarrow{\pi} & G//G \end{array}$$

where the right square is Cartesian over some neighbourhood of  $\phi(e)$  and  $\psi$  is smooth.

*Proof:* (1) See [St, pp. 129–130].

(2) See [Sl, p. 50]. ■

The next point is to describe a part of the exceptional locus of  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ . Fix a simple root  $\beta$  and let  $P_\beta \supset B$  be the corresponding rank 1 parabolic subgroup, so that  $P_\beta/B \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $V_\beta$  denote the soluble radical of  $P_\beta$  and  $U_\beta$  the unipotent radical. Then there is a commutative exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & U_\beta & \longrightarrow & V_\beta & \longrightarrow & \beta^\perp \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & T \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are inclusions and  $\beta^\perp$  is the kernel of the character  $\beta$ . Note that  $V_\beta$  has codimension 2 in  $B$ , so that  $E_\beta = (G \times V_\beta)/B$  has codimension 2 in  $\tilde{X}$ , and that the image of the composite  $E_\beta \rightarrow \tilde{X} \rightarrow T$  is  $\beta^\perp$ .

LEMMA 2.2: *The exceptional locus of  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  contains  $E_\beta$ .*

*Proof:* The collapsing map  $E_\beta \rightarrow G$  factors through the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $\pi_\beta: E_\beta \rightarrow (G \times V_\beta)/P_\beta$ . ■

PROPOSITION 2.3: *Suppose that  $C$  is a fibre of  $\pi_\beta: E_\beta \rightarrow (G \times V_\beta)/P_\beta$ . Then*

(2.3.1)  $N_{E_\beta/\tilde{X}}|_C \cong \mathcal{O}(-1)^2$  and

(2.3.2) *every deformation of  $C$  in  $\tilde{X}$  lies in  $E_\beta$ .*

*Proof:* (2.3.1) From the description of  $E_\beta \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$  as an inclusion of associated bundles over  $G/B$ , it follows that  $N_{E_\beta/\tilde{X}} \cong (G \times V_\beta \times (\text{Lie } B / \text{Lie } V_\beta))/B$ . We identify  $C$  with the subvariety  $(P_\beta \times \{1\})/B$  of  $E_\beta$ ; then  $N_{E_\beta/\tilde{X}}|_C \cong (P_\beta \times (\text{Lie } B / \text{Lie } V_\beta))/B$ . Choose a copy  $G_1$  of  $\text{SL}_2$  in a Levi subgroup of  $P_\beta$  so that  $B_1 = B \cap G_1$  is a Borel subgroup of  $G_1$ . So  $N_{E_\beta/\tilde{X}}|_C \cong (G_1 \times \text{Lie } B_1)/B_1$ . From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Lie } U_1 \rightarrow \text{Lie } B_1 \rightarrow \text{Lie } T_1 \rightarrow 0$$

of  $B_1$ -modules, where  $U_1$  is the unipotent radical of  $B_1$  and  $T_1$  a torus in  $B_1$ , it follows that  $N_{E_\beta/\tilde{X}}|_C$  is either  $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)$  or  $\mathcal{O}(-1)^2$  over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . So if  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, N_{E_\beta/\tilde{X}}|_C) \neq 0$ , then  $\text{Lie } B_1$  contains a  $B_1$ -invariant vector. But it does not, and so  $N_{E_\beta/\tilde{X}}|_C \cong \mathcal{O}(-1)^2$ .

(2.3.2) It is enough to show that  $\mathrm{Hilb}_{\tilde{X}}$  is smooth at  $[C]$  and that  $\dim_{[C]} \mathrm{Hilb}_{\tilde{X}} = \dim(G \times V_\beta)/P_\beta$ . For this, it is enough to show that  $\mathcal{N}_{C/\tilde{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1)^2 \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\dim G - 3}$ . There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_{C/E_\beta} \rightarrow N_{C/\tilde{X}} \rightarrow N_{E_\beta/\tilde{X}}|_C \rightarrow 0,$$

so that now (2) follows from (1) and the fact that  $N_{C/E_\beta}$  is free, since  $C$  is a fibre of  $E_\beta \rightarrow (G \times V_\beta)/P_\beta$ . ■

Now suppose that  $p$  is very good. Then the action of  $G_{ad}$  on any orbit is smooth and it follows [Sl, pp. 60–69] that there is an  $(r+2)$ -dimensional complete slice of  $G$  through a geometric point  $P$  of the subregular unipotent orbit  $N_1$  whose inverse image is smooth. Localize the lower row by completing at  $e$ . In particular, this makes a base change from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to the Witt vectors  $\mathbb{W}$ , which will be the base ring henceforth. Denote the slices on the upper row by a superscript dagger  $\dagger$ , except that we write  $X_e^\dagger = Y$  and  $\tilde{X}_e^\dagger = \tilde{Y}$ .

Define  $F_\beta = ((G \times U_\beta)/B) \otimes k$ . Note that  $F_\beta = E_\beta \cap \tilde{X}_e$  and  $F_\beta$  is a divisor in  $\tilde{X}_e$ . Define  $C_\beta = F_\beta \cap \tilde{Y} = E_\beta \cap \tilde{Y}$ .

PROPOSITION 2.4: [H2] (2.4.1) *The exceptional locus of  $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is  $\bigcup_\beta C_\beta$ .*

(2.4.2) *Each  $C_\beta$  is an irreducible  $(-2)$ -curve and the configuration that they form is the same as the Dynkin diagram of  $G$ .*

(2.4.3)  *$(Y, P)$  is an RDP of the same type as  $G$  and  $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is its minimal resolution.*

*Proof:* As already mentioned, Hinich [H2] gives a transparent proof of this, depending only on the facts (1)–(2) listed in the introduction. He proves first that the dualizing sheaf  $\omega_{\tilde{Y}}$  is trivial, which ensures that  $(Y, P)$  is an RDP and  $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is its minimal resolution. He then identifies the exceptional locus, which is enough. He works in the context of complex Lie algebras but, except for replacing  $H^2(G/B, \mathbb{Z})$  by  $\mathrm{Pic}(G/B)$  and  $T^*(G/B)$  by  $(G \times U)/B$ , which is easily seen to have trivial dualizing sheaf, his proof carries over unchanged.

### 3. The miniversal deformation of $\tilde{Y}$

Suppose that  $f: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow (Y, P)$  is the minimal resolution of an RDP and that  $E_1, \dots, E_r$  are the exceptional curves in  $\tilde{Y}$ . Any deformation of  $\tilde{Y}$  can be blown down to a deformation of  $Y$ , giving a morphism  $\mathrm{Def}_{\tilde{Y}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Def}_Y$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1: *Assume that  $\mathrm{char} k = p$  is very good for the type of  $Y$ .*

(3.1.1)  *$\mathrm{Def}_{\tilde{Y}}$  is smooth over  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{W}$  of relative dimension  $r$ .*

(3.1.2) The spaces  $H_i \subset \text{Def}_{\tilde{Y}}$  of deformations where  $E_i$  is preserved are smooth transverse divisors over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{W}$ .

*Proof:* There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_{\tilde{Y}}(-\log \sum E_i) \rightarrow T_{\tilde{Y}} \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{N}_{E_i/\tilde{Y}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $H^2(\tilde{Y}, T_{\tilde{Y}}) = H^2(\tilde{Y}, T_{\tilde{Y}}(-\log \sum E_i)) = 0$ , by reason of dimension,  $\text{Def}_{\tilde{Y}}$  is smooth of dimension at least  $r$  over  $\mathbb{W}$ . Suppose that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow \text{Def}_{\tilde{Y}}$  is a miniversal deformation of  $\tilde{Y}$ . Let  $R$  be the quasi-separated algebraic space that represents [A1] the functor  $\text{Res}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow \text{Def}_{\tilde{Y}}}$ . Then [A1, Theorem 3] there is a henselization  $\tilde{R}$  of  $R$  that surjects finitely to  $\text{Def}_Y$ , while also [A1, Lemma 3.3] there is a smooth morphism  $R \rightarrow \text{Def}_{\tilde{Y}}$ . Now an inspection of Artin's lists [A2] shows that  $\text{Def}_Y$  is of dimension  $r$  over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{W}$ , and (3.1.1) follows.

Finally, the tangent space of  $H_i$  is  $\ker(H^1(\tilde{Y}, T_{\tilde{Y}}) \rightarrow H^1(E_i, \mathcal{N}_{E_i/\tilde{Y}}))$ , and we are done. ■

LEMMA 3.2: Suppose that  $Y$  is of type  $A_1$  and that  $f: \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{W}\{t\}$  is a deformation of  $\tilde{Y}$ . Put  $S_0 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{W}\{t\}/(p)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_0 = \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \times_S S_0$ . Then  $f$  embeds into  $\text{Def}_{\tilde{Y}}$  if  $\mathcal{N}_{E_1/\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_0} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$ .

The converse is also true, but we shall not need it.

*Proof:* Suppose that  $S_1$  is the miniversal deformation space of  $\tilde{Y}$  and that  $\alpha: S \rightarrow S_1$  is the morphism induced by  $f$ . Since  $S$  and  $S_1$  are  $\mathbb{W}$ -flat,  $\alpha$  is an embedding if and only if  $\alpha \otimes 1_k$  is so. Hence it is enough to show that  $f_0$  embeds into the miniversal deformation.

Put  $\Sigma = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{S_0}/(t^2)$ . If  $f_0$  does not embed into the miniversal deformation, then  $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_0 \times \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  is trivial, so that  $\mathcal{N}_{E_1/\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_0} \cong \mathcal{N}_{E_1/\tilde{Y}} \oplus \mathcal{O}$ . ■

PROPOSITION 3.3: The map  $E_\beta^\dagger \rightarrow X^\dagger$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over its image.

*Proof:* Let  $\tau: B \rightarrow T$  be the projection. Put  $\tilde{X}_\beta = (G \times \tau^{-1}(\beta^\perp))/B = \psi^{-1}(\beta^\perp)$ . Put  $X_\beta = \phi_1^{-1}(\beta^\perp)$ ; then it is enough to show that the fibres of  $E_\beta^\dagger \rightarrow X_\beta^\dagger$  are copies of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

Since  $U_\beta$  is the transverse intersection of  $V_\beta$  and  $U$  inside  $\tau^{-1}(\beta^\perp)$ , it follows that  $E_\beta$  and  $\tilde{X}_e$  meet transversely inside  $\tilde{X}_\beta$ . So  $E_\beta \cap \tilde{X}_e$ , and so  $E_\beta^\dagger \cap \tilde{X}_e^\dagger$ , is smooth. By 2.3.2 (rather, its proof)  $E_\beta^\dagger \cap \tilde{X}_e^\dagger$  is a single copy of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . ■

**THEOREM 3.4:**  $\tilde{X}^\dagger \rightarrow T$  is a miniversal deformation of  $\tilde{Y}$ .

*Proof:* As in the proof of 3.2, it is enough to pass from  $\mathbb{W}$  to  $k$  and work over  $k$ . We do this, but suppress the subscript  $k$  in what follows.

The map  $\tilde{X}^\dagger \rightarrow T$  is induced from a morphism  $g: T \rightarrow \text{Def}_{\tilde{Y}}$ . By 2.5.2,  $E_\beta^\dagger \cap \tilde{Y}$  is a copy  $C_\beta$  of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $H_\beta \subset \text{Def}_{\tilde{Y}}$  be the hyperplane consisting of deformations where  $C_\beta$  is preserved. Then  $g^{-1}(H_\beta)$  is, by 2.3.2, set-theoretically equal to the image in  $T$  of  $E_\beta^\dagger$ , which is just  $\beta^\perp$ .

Choose co-ordinates  $\{t_\beta\}$  on  $\text{Def}_{\tilde{Y}}$  and  $\{z_\beta\}$  on  $T$  so that  $H_\beta = (t_\beta)_0$  and  $\beta^\perp = (z_\beta)_0$ . Then  $g$  is identified with a homomorphism  $k\{t_{\beta_1}, \dots, t_{\beta_r}\} \rightarrow k\{z_{\beta_1}, \dots, z_{\beta_r}\}$  such that  $t_{\beta_i} \mapsto u_i z_{\beta_i}^{n_i}$  for some  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and some unit  $u_i$ .

Suppose that  $n_i > 1$ . Write  $\beta_i = \beta$ . Take a slice  $\Delta_1 = \text{Spec } k\{t_1\}$  of  $\text{Def}_{\tilde{Y}}$  through a general point  $P$  of  $H_\beta$ . Put  $\Delta = \Delta_1 \times_{\text{Def}_{\tilde{Y}}} T$ ; then  $\Delta \cong \text{Spec } k\{t\}$  and  $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta_1$  is of degree  $n_i$ . Denote fibre products with  $\Delta$  by a subscript.

By 3.3, the fibre of  $E_\beta^\dagger$  over  $P$  is a fibre  $C$  of  $\pi_\beta$ . Then  $\tilde{X}_\Delta^\dagger \rightarrow \Delta$  induces, by restricting to an étale neighbourhood of  $C$ , a deformation of the minimal resolution of an  $A_1$ -singularity which, by construction, does not embed into the miniversal deformation. So  $N_{C/\tilde{X}_\Delta^\dagger} \cong O \oplus O(-2)$ . Moreover,  $C$  is a fibre of  $E_\beta \rightarrow Z_\beta$ , so that  $N_{C/\tilde{X}_\Delta^\dagger} \cong N_{E_\beta/\tilde{X}}|_C$ . This contradicts 2.3.1.

So  $n_i = 1$  and  $g$  is an isomorphism. ■

#### 4. The miniversal deformation of $Y$

We shall show that  $G^\dagger \rightarrow S = G//G_{ad}$  is a miniversal deformation of  $Y = X_e^\dagger$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow V$  be a miniversal deformation of  $Y$ , so that there is a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G^\dagger & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & V. \end{array}$$

**LEMMA 4.1:**  $\gamma$  is finite.

*Proof:* If not, then there is a curve  $\Delta$  through  $e$  in  $S$  such that the induced family  $G_\Delta^\dagger \rightarrow \Delta$  is a trivial deformation of  $Y$ . Then there is a curve  $\Delta_1$  in  $T$ , dominating  $\Delta$ , such that the induced family  $\tilde{X}_{\Delta_1}^\dagger \rightarrow \Delta_1$  is a trivial deformation of  $\tilde{Y}$ , contradicting Theorem 3.4. ■

First, assume that  $p = 0$ , so that we can take  $k = \mathbb{C}$ .

For any parameter space  $B$ , denote the discriminant by  $D_B$  and put  $B \setminus D_B = B_0$ .

Fix a base point  $s_0 \in S_0$ , with image  $v_0 \in V_0$ . We get an identification  $G_{s_0}^\dagger = \mathfrak{Y}_{v_0}$ . Let  $M$  denote the lattice  $H_c^2(\mathfrak{Y}_{v_0}, \mathbb{Z})$ , which we regard as a subgroup of  $H^2(\mathfrak{Y}_{v_0}, \mathbb{Z})$ . Since there is a simultaneous resolution  $\tilde{X}^\dagger \rightarrow T$ , we can and do fix an identification of  $M$  with the lattice  $L$  generated by the exceptional curves in  $\tilde{Y}$ .  $M$  also contains the lattice  $N$  generated by the vanishing cycles.

Since there is a simultaneous resolution over  $T$ , there is no monodromy over any open subset of  $T$  on  $M$ . Let  $U_0 \rightarrow V_0$  be the étale Galois covering that kills the monodromy on  $M$  and  $U \rightarrow V$  the normalization of  $V$  in the function field of  $U_0$ ; then  $T \rightarrow V$  factors through  $U$ , so that there is a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \longrightarrow & S \\ \delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ U & \longrightarrow & V. \end{array}$$

Let  $T_0$  denote the inverse image of  $V_0$  in  $T$ .

**LEMMA 4.2:** *The Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence yields an isomorphism  $\alpha: H^2(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{Y}, \Omega^1)$ .*

*Proof:* Since  $E_1^{pq} = 0$  for  $q > 1$ , the map  $\alpha$  exists and is surjective. Since both sides have dimension  $\text{rank}(G)$ , the result follows. ■

Consider the family  $\psi: \tilde{X}^\dagger \rightarrow T$  and construct a period map  $P: T \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{Y}, \Omega^1)$  as follows. Pick a generator  $\omega$  of  $\omega_{G^\dagger/S}$ ; this lifts to a generator of  $\Omega_{\tilde{X}^\dagger/T}^2$ . Then  $\omega|_{\tilde{X}_t^\dagger}$  can be transported along a path from  $t$  to  $e$ , and so defines a class in  $H^2(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{C})$ . Define  $P(t) = P_\omega(t) = \alpha([\omega|_{\tilde{X}_t^\dagger}])$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.3:**  *$P$  is an isomorphism at  $e$ .*

*Proof:* The derivative of  $P$  at  $e$  is a map  $H^1(\tilde{Y}, T_{\tilde{Y}}) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{Y}, \Omega^1)$ . As is well known [K, 3.4, especially 3.4.12], this is identified with contraction against  $\omega|_{\tilde{Y}}$ . Since  $\omega|_{\tilde{Y}}$  induces an isomorphism  $T_{\tilde{Y}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{Y}}^1$ , it does so on  $H^1$ . ■

**COROLLARY 4.4:** *The map  $\delta: T \rightarrow U$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof:* Since there is no monodromy over  $U_0$ ,  $P|_{T_0}$  factors through  $U_0$ . Now the result follows from 4.3. ■



**THEOREM 4.5:**  $\gamma: S \rightarrow V$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof:* Put  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(U/V)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is generated by reflexions in the vanishing cycles [Lo]. Since  $N \subset M$  the vanishing cycles are roots in  $M$ , so that  $\Gamma \subset W$ . However,  $\#W$  divides  $\#\Gamma$ , by 4.4, so that  $S \rightarrow V$  is a finite map of smooth germs and is of degree 1. ■

Now drop the assumption that  $p = 0$ .

**THEOREM 4.6:**  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are isomorphisms over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{W}$ .

*Proof:* Put  $K = \text{Frac}(\mathbb{W})$ , so that  $\gamma, \delta$  are finite morphisms of smooth germs over  $\mathbb{W}$  and  $\gamma \otimes 1_K, \delta \otimes 1_K$  are isomorphisms. Then  $\gamma, \delta$  are isomorphisms. ■

**COROLLARY 4.7:** Suppose that  $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow D$  is a deformation of an RDP  $Y$  in very good characteristic and that  $D$  is normal. Then there is a simultaneous resolution  $\tilde{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow D$  if and only if the monodromy action on the vanishing cohomology is trivial.

*Proof:* We identify  $T$  with  $\text{Def}_{\tilde{\gamma}}$  and  $S$  with  $\text{Def}_{\gamma}$ . So there is a simultaneous resolution  $\tilde{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow D$  if and only if any (or all) of the natural morphisms  $D \rightarrow \text{Def}_{\gamma} \cong S$  factors through  $T$ . Since  $\delta$  is an isomorphism, we are done. ■

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:** I am very grateful to the referee, who pointed out a serious error and whose other remarks have greatly improved the quality of the exposition.

### References

- [A1] M. Artin, *Algebraic construction of Brieskorn's resolutions*, Journal of Algebra **29** (1974), 330–348.
- [A2] M. Artin, *Coverings of the rational double points in characteristic  $p$* , in *Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry* (W. Baily and T. Shioda, eds.), Cambridge University Press, 1977, pp. 11–22.
- [B] E. Brieskorn, *Singular elements of semisimple algebraic groups*, Actes Congrès Int. Math., Vol. 2, Nice, 1970, pp. 279–284.
- [H1] V. Hinich, *On the singularities of nilpotent orbits*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **73** (1991), 297–308.
- [H2] V. Hinich, *On Brieskorn's theorem*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **76** (1991), 153–160.

- [K] N. Katz, *Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem*, Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques **39** (1970), 175–232.
- [Li] J. Lipman, *Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization*, Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques **36** (1969), 95–279.
- [Lo] E. Looijenga, *Isolated singular points on complete intersections*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 77, Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [P] H. Pinkham, *Résolution simultanée de points doubles rationnelles*, in *Séminaire sur les singularités des surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **777**, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [Sl] P. Slodowy, *Simple singularities and simple algebraic groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **815**, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [St] R. Steinberg, *Conjugacy classes in algebraic groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **366**, Springer, Berlin, 1974.